Application No. 09/889,251

Applicant(s)

Naviaux

Interview Summary

Examiner
Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit 1614

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):
1) <i>Phyllis G. Spivack</i>	(3)
2) <u>Lisa A Haile, Ph.D.</u>	
Date of Interview	_
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-6, 8-27, and 66	
dentification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general my other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
•	it was established Dr. Haile would call the Examiner back on
	avoid an interference between the overlapping subject matter
presently claimed and that of von Borstel.	
Dr. Haile stated today no suggestions to advance prosecu	ition of the present case are presently forthcoming and
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
•	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See M Ilready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FF	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	PHYLLIS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required